

TO-DAY'S NEWS IN BRIEF.

BUSINESS.

Yesterday's bank clearings were \$10,272,221. Local discount rates were between 5 and 6 per cent. Domestic exchange was quoted as follows: New York, 96 premium bid, 97 premium asked; Chicago, 96 premium bid, 97 premium asked; Cincinnati, Louisville and New Orleans, 96 discount bid, 97 discount asked. Wheat closed higher at 87 1/2¢/bushel asked. No. 2 red, corn closed higher at 47 1/2¢ asked Dec., 48 1/2¢ No. 2 mixed. Oats closed at 37 1/2¢ Dec., 37 3/4¢ No. 2 mixed.

Spot cotton was unchanged in the local market.

LOCAL AND SUBURBAN.

A "Jack the Clipper" wanted attacked a young girl, Mary Elise, and cut off her hair.

James L. Blair, counsel for the World's Fair Company, was injured by a fall from a ladder.

John Pearce, a plumber, shot manufacturer, and his wife today will celebrate their golden wedding anniversary.

Ladies' Sanitary Committee of Civic Improvement League reports many violations of sanitary ordinance.

Mr. and Mrs. F. W. Ehrler, the first couple married in St. John's Evangelical church, will celebrate their golden wedding anniversary there tonight.

Encouraging reports were received at the eighty-fourth annual meeting of the St. Louis Baptist Association.

Explosion from manhole on Thirteenth street caused much excitement.

Richard R. Southard, sewer commissioner under Mayor Noonan, died.

National Paint, Oil and Varnish Association met at the Southern Hotel.

The bill in the City Council to number automobiles was reported favorably.

The Transit Company's quarterly report filed yesterday shows the following figures: Trips, 1,208,322; passengers, \$2,308,007.

GENERAL DOMESTIC.

Daniel V. Miller, former Assistant Attorney General for the Post-Office Department, and his friend, Joseph M. Johns, are on trial at Cincinnati on a charge of conspiring to obtain money from John J. Ryan, a "turf" commissioner.

The New York Court of Appeals declares that dependence on a wife in case of sickness is criminal negligence.

The Illinois murder case at Lexington, Ill., probably will go to the jury to-day, arguments being almost completed.

A folk club is organized at Filley, Mo. Judge Frank N. Blaine is presiding.

Appointed a member of the Illinois State Republican Committee to succeed Delos Grigsby, who died recently.

The Cole County Grand Jury resumes its investigation of legislative wrongdoing at Jefferson City, Mo.

Doni Pitts attacked his wife with a butcher knife at Anna, Ill., cutting her throat. His stepson went to the rescue of his mother and cut Pitts' throat. Both of them will die.

The negroes flee from Kevill, the result of the small race riot there Sunday.

Twenty-five negro girls strike at Paducah, Ky., but go back to work when other girls are imported from surrounding cities.

The Supreme Court of Missouri renders its written opinion in the case of Editor Shephard, who was fined for contempt for certifying the court. In brief, the tribunal declares that the fine was necessary to defend itself and maintain its proper dignity.

Two brothers meet at Memphis, Mo., after a separation of forty years. They have no children that neither knew the other.

Cole Younger accepts a compromise from the Younger-James show, whereby his connection with the company is severed. All litigation is ended.

FOREIGN.

Lord Alverstone denies that he expressed the opinion that the Americans had the better of the arguments in the Alaskan boundary contention.

American decides to delay the expansion of the navy so that it will cover the sixteen years contemplated in the original programme. The navy is soon to be supplied with new weapons.

Macedonia has become quieter, the insurgents having been routed and all but annihilated by Serbian troops. The fugitives who have escaped into Bulgaria resume efforts of resistance.

The activity of Italian socialists has caused the postponement of the czar's visit to Italy, and it is even reported that he may not go to that country at all.

The Central American States are in the throes of war. Nicaragua and Guatemala having joined forces against Honduras and Salvador, while the latter countries are aiding a Liberal uprising in Nicaragua.

SPORTING.

Winners at the Fair Grounds yesterday were: Kloss, Chief, Miss Manners, Brancas, Bluebird, Yellow Tail and Captain Glines.

10th Academy is assured of first place in the intercollegiate tourney.

Cloves and oranges are to mark the day's racing at the Lexington harness meeting. Driver Greer is injured.

MARINE INTELLIGENCE.

New York, Oct. 12.—Arrived: Kaiser Wilhelm II, from Bremen.

Antwerp, Oct. 12.—Arrived: Zealand, from New York.

Naples, Oct. 5.—Sailed: Liguria, New York.

New York, Oct. 12.—Arrived: Georgia, Liverpool. Sailed: Armanian, Liverpool.

Havre, Oct. 12.—Arrived: La Champagne, New York.

Queensdown, Oct. 12.—Arrived: Friesland, Philadelphia, for Liverpool, and proceeded.

London, Oct. 12.—Arrived: Minnebaha, New York. Sailed: Philadelphia, Boston.

Liverpool, Oct. 12.—Sailed: Sylvania, New York via Queensdown.

New York, Oct. 12.—Arrived: Grosser Kursturm, Bremen, and Cherbourg.

Santander, Liverpool, Oct. 12.—Steamer Oceanic, from Liverpool and Queensdown, for New York, off here at 5:30 p. m.

857.50 for Road Trip

To California.

Via Missouri Pacific Railway.

Tickets on sale October 8 to 12 inclusive. Good for return until November 2, 1903. Only line running through Pullman sleeping cars. St. Louis to San Francisco. For particulars inquire at City Ticket Office, S. E. Cor. Sixth and Olive streets.

GET READY FOR ENCAMPMENT.

Service Men of Spanish-American War Meet.

The service men of the Spanish-American War will hold their annual encampment in St. Louis October 28 and 29, and at a meeting in the Lohrli parlors last night an organization to arrange for the entertainment of the guests, was perfected.

Those present were: John A. Porter, A. A. Marquardt, E. A. Berliner, P. Schumacher, Major Doctor Keating Baudry, Charles Weber, Henry J. Croese, Captain E. H. Loftholm, H. N. Bragdon, H. A. Voorhees and Martin Sherer. These men represent the camps Hamilton Fish, Jr., Walter Jennings and Nelson Cole.

It is expected that about 70 delegates will attend the meeting, and extensive plans for their entertainment are being made.

A GUARANTEED CURE FOR PILES.

itching, blind, bleeding or protruding piles. Your druggist will refund money if Pazo's ointment fails to cure you in 10 days.



ARCHBISHOP J. J. KAIN.
Who died yesterday in Baltimore.

ARCHBISHOP KAIN DIES IN BALTIMORE.

Continued From Page One.

Bishops will reward him. If he was sometimes bitter, he never spared himself, and his death was the final breaking down of a splendid constitution and the culmination of a devoted life in the service of God.

SKETCH OF THE LIFE OF ARCHBISHOP KAIN.

The Most Reverend John J. Kain was one of the most widely known and most popular prelates in the United States. Born in Maryland, he was educated at the University of Virginia, where he received his degree in law. He was ordained a priest in 1854, and served in various parishes before being appointed to the see of Baltimore in 1882.

His preparatory education for the priesthood was received at the St. Charles Seminary at Ellicott, Md., where he was graduated in 1854.

He then entered St. Mary's College at Baltimore, where he was graduated in 1857. He then served in various parishes before being appointed to the see of Baltimore in 1882.

Shortly after his ordination he was assigned to territories along the Potomac River. This territory was very large, including Harper's Ferry, and it was during his tenure there that he was known for his sternness and his devotion to duty.

His health, however, was not strong, and he was often ill. He was eventually forced to resign his position in 1882, and he died in Baltimore on October 13, 1903.

His death was a great loss to the Church and to the people of Baltimore. He was a man of great character and a devoted servant of God.

MANY APPOINTMENTS HAVE BEEN CANCELED.

All appointments of Bishop Gorman to administer confirmation in the country parishes have been canceled until after October 24. It was thought that Archbishop Kain might be able to meet them, but the stress of work upon him and a slight sickness make it impossible.

The Reverend Father J. J. Thirath, Vice Chancellor of the diocese, said last night that the funeral of Archbishop Kain will take place either Tuesday or Wednesday in the Old Cathedral.

SUPREME COURTS' WRITTEN OPINION. IN CONTEMPT CASE.

Continued From Page One.

ish for contempt is as old as the law itself, and has been the subject of no matter of public policy, but its existence has never been denied. It is followed by a history of contempt, especially in England, from the time of Edward I. Nine pages are devoted to the establishment of the court's right to punish for contempt.

The power to punish contempt may be punished the opinion classifies them as "civil or criminal," and as "direct or consequential." The latter are those which are committed by a private person, and which are not punishable by the court, but which are punishable by the court, and which are punishable by the court.

Direct contempt is generally those which are committed by a private person, and which are not punishable by the court, but which are punishable by the court, and which are punishable by the court.

Consequential contempt is generally those which are committed by a private person, and which are not punishable by the court, but which are punishable by the court, and which are punishable by the court.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

The court held that the Legislature has no such power and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power, and that the contempt power is a judicial power.

the truth, be honest to yourself as well as to your fellow-men; bear no malice, but judge all men with charity, and life will be a success, and the world will be a better place, and your neighbor's faults will not appear quite so unimportant.

In this spirit the judgment in this case was entered, and in this spirit let it be judged.

FOLK ARGUES BUTLER CASE BEFORE JUDGE GANTT.

Continued From Page One.

My law the right to authorize the Board of Health to let the contract for the reduction of the State of Ill. vs. City of St. Louis, 221, filed upon by the defendant, has no application to this case, that being a case where a contract was for improving and cleaning the streets, and therefore coming under the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Improvements, and the Board of Public Improvements. It was held in the case of State ex rel. vs. City of St. Louis, 221, that section 22, article 1 of the Charter conferred only upon the Board of Public Improvements the power to let the contract for improving and cleaning the streets, and that an ordinance for the present purchase of existing sewer pipes laid in protest by citizens does not have to originate with the Board of Public Improvements.

NOT A DELEGATION OF LEGISLATIVE POWER.

Neither does the ordinance for the reduction of the State of Ill. vs. City of St. Louis, 221, confer upon the Board of Public Improvements the power to let the contract for improving and cleaning the streets, and therefore coming under the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Improvements, and the Board of Public Improvements. It was held in the case of State ex rel. vs. City of St. Louis, 221, that section 22, article 1 of the Charter conferred only upon the Board of Public Improvements the power to let the contract for improving and cleaning the streets, and that an ordinance for the present purchase of existing sewer pipes laid in protest by citizens does not have to originate with the Board of Public Improvements.

VALIDITY OF ORDINANCE NOT THE QUESTION.

Just the validity of the ordinance for the reduction of the State of Ill. vs. City of St. Louis, 221, is not the question in this case. The issue is, Did the defendant, Butler, offer a bribe to Doctor Chapman, a member of the Board of Health, to influence his action?

The granting of the ordinance of bribery is the attempt to influence official conduct by corrupt means. Does it, in a proper proceeding, the ordinance for the reduction of the State of Ill. vs. City of St. Louis, 221, not confer upon the Board of Public Improvements the power to let the contract for improving and cleaning the streets, and therefore coming under the jurisdiction of the Board of Public Improvements, and the Board of Public Improvements. It was held in the case of State ex rel. vs. City of St. Louis, 221, that section 22, article 1 of the Charter conferred only upon the Board of Public Improvements the power to let the contract for improving and cleaning the streets, and that an ordinance for the present purchase of existing sewer pipes laid in protest by citizens does not have to originate with the Board of Public Improvements.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS, AND THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS. IT WAS HELD IN THE CASE OF STATE EX REL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, THAT SECTION 22, ARTICLE 1 OF THE CHARTER CONFERRED ONLY UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THAT AN ORDINANCE FOR THE PRESENT PURCHASE OF EXISTING SEWER PIPES LAID IN PROTEST BY CITIZENS DOES NOT HAVE TO ORIGINATE WITH THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

THE GRANTING OF THE ORDINANCE OF BRIBERY IS THE ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE OFFICIAL CONDUCT BY CORRUPT MEANS. DOES IT, IN A PROPER PROCEEDING, THE ORDINANCE FOR THE REDUCTION OF THE STATE OF ILL. VS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS, 221, NOT CONFER UPON THE BOARD OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS THE POWER TO LET THE CONTRACT FOR IMPROVING AND CLEANING THE STREETS, AND THEREFORE COMING UNDER THE JURISDICTION